698

# SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, MAY 4, 1998 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S008113 People, Plaintiff and Respondent

v.

Lee Max Barnett, Defendant and Appellant

[W]e find no reversible error in the record. The judgment of death is affirmed.

Baxter, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Mosk, J.

Kennard, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

S008005 People, Respondent

v.

Charles D. Riel, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including May 29, 1998.

S012762 People, Respondent

v.

Terry Douglas Bemore, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including June 1, 1998.

## S014497 People, Respondent

V.

Dennis Harold Lawley, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including May 26, 1998.

## S014664 People, Respondent

V.

Mario Lewis Gray, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including May 18, 1998.

#### S017657 In re Jesse Andrews

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, the parties are granted to and including June 15, 1998, to serve and file exceptions to the report of the referee and simultaneous briefs on the merits. Responses, if any, shall be served and filed on or before July 10, 1998.

#### S024116 People, Respondent

v.

Michael Slaughter, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including June 26, 1998.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

# S060715 In re Ricky Lee Earp

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including June 29, 1998.

### S062432 In re Freddie Lee Taylor

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including June 1, 1998.

## S065021 Jabes Salgado et al., Appellants

v.

County of Los Angeles et al., Appellants

On application of appellants Jabes Salgado et al. and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to and including May 11, 1998.

#### S065475 In re Jonathan Donner

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including June 1, 1998.

#### S067887 In re Stephen Louis Mitcham

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including May 29, 1998.

#### S069685 In re Curtis F. Price

on

**Habeas Corpus** 

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including June 22, 1998.

## S062379 People, Respondent

v.

Terry Birkett, Appellant

The application of California State Automobile Inter-Insurance Bureau for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondent is hereby granted.

An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party May 22, 1998.

## S067006 People, Respondent

V.

Andre Lamont McClain, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Jerome McGuire is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

## S068162 People, Respondent

v.

Danny Duarte, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Maureen DeMaio is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

Bar Misc. 4186 In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

### S049377 In re **Louis Sosa Flores** on Discipline

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in the above-entitled matter is lifted, and Louis Sosa Flores shall be actually suspended from the practice of law for six months. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Credit shall be given toward the period of actual suspension for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6007(d). It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and those costs are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

## S068100 In re **Leon Jacob Atlas** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Leon Jacob Atlas** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and those costs are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

## S068102 In re **Mark E. Powers** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Mark E. Powers** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including six months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed November 5, 1997. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code

section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

## S068106 In re **John Ingraham Meeker** on Discipline

It is ordered that **John Ingraham Meeker** be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for sixty days. He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order regarding the stipulation filed December 29, 1997. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and those are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)